

A SIMPLE 9/7-TAP WAVELET FILTER BASED ON LIFTING SCHEME

Zhong Guangjun, Cheng Lizhi and Chen Huowang

School of Computer, National University of Defence Technology

Changsha 410073, China

Email: gjzhwj@263.net, {gjzhong, lzchen}@nudt.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

Based on lifting scheme and the construction theorem of biorthogonal wavelet, we propose a new symmetric biorthogonal 9/7-tap wavelet called LS97. Compared to Cohen-Daubechies-Feauveau 9/7-tap (CDF 9/7-tap) wavelet adopted by JPEG2000, when new wavelet is applied to image coding, the compression performance is exactly the same as that of CDF 9/7-tap wavelet, while computational complexity is reduced remarkably.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the new still picture-compression standard JPEG2000 [1], biorthogonal CDF 9/7-tap wavelet developed by Cohen-Daubechies-Feauveau [2] was recommended as standard transform coding. To reduce the complexity, Daubechies and Sweldens [3] proposed a lifting scheme for implementing CDF 9/7-tap wavelet. Since the coefficients of the CDF 9/7-tap wavelet are all irrational numbers, [4] suggested approximating the coefficients of the CDF 9/7-tap wavelet by using binary fraction, in which 26 integer additions and 18 shifts are needed per two wavelet coefficients. However, the compression performance of binary 9/7-tap wavelet [4] is inferior to that of CDF 9/7-tap wavelet. The purpose of this Letter is to develop a new biorthogonal 9/7-tap wavelet with a simple binary fraction, in which the compression performance is nearly the same as that of CDF 9/7-tap wavelet while computational complexity is reduced considerably. The simulation shows that the new biorthogonal 9/7-tap wavelet can be considered as a very good alternative to CDF 9/7-tap wavelet.

2. NEW BIORTHOGONAL 9/7-TAP WAVELET

Let the functions of analysis low-pass symmetric filter and synthesis low-pass symmetric filter be

$$H(\omega) = h_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{L_1} h_n \cos n\omega \quad \text{and}$$

$G(\omega) = g_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{L_2} g_n \cos n\omega$ respectively. To construct biorthogonal wavelet, Cohen, Daubechies and Feauveau [2] developed the following construction theorem.

Theorem([2]). Let $H(\omega) = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1+e^{-i\omega}}{2} \right)^N P(\omega)$ and

$$G(\omega) = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1+e^{-i\omega}}{2} \right)^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{P}(\omega),$$

where $P(\omega)$ and $\tilde{P}(\omega)$ are polynomials about $e^{-i\omega}$, then $H(\omega)$ and $G(\omega)$ construct biorthogonal wavelet, if the following conditions are true:

- (i) Normalization condition: $H(0) = \sqrt{2}$, $G(0) = \sqrt{2}$;
- (ii) Inequalities constraints:

$$\sup_{\omega \in [0, 2\pi)} |P(\omega)| < 2^{N-1}, \quad \sup_{\omega \in [0, 2\pi)} |\tilde{P}(\omega)| < 2^{\tilde{N}-1};$$

- (iii) Perfect reconstruction condition:

$$H(\omega)G(\omega) + H(\omega + \pi)G(\omega + \pi) = 2.$$

In practice especially in image processing applications, to reduce the complexity of wavelet transform, the FIR filters should be within limited lengths. For example, in the design of the CDF 9/7-tap wavelet [2], the lengths of FIR filters are taken by $L_1 = 4$ and $L_2 = 3$ respectively, and another group of constants N and \tilde{N} are taken by $N = \tilde{N} = 4$. In the design of new 9/7-tap biorthogonal wavelet, we change the value of N and \tilde{N} , and take $N = 2$, $\tilde{N} = 4$, in this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\omega) &= \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1+e^{-i\omega}}{2} \right)^2 P(\omega), \\ G(\omega) &= \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1+e^{-i\omega}}{2} \right)^4 \tilde{P}(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Based on the construction Theorem, in the following we consider solving for $H(\omega)$ and $G(\omega)$. First, from normalization condition we get

$$h_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^4 h_n = \sqrt{2}, \quad g_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^3 g_n = \sqrt{2}. \quad (2)$$

Using (1) results in $\frac{d^k}{d\omega^k} H|_{\omega=\pi} = 0, k = 0, 1$ and $\frac{d^k}{d\omega^k} G|_{\omega=\pi} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Since $H(\omega)$ and $G(\omega)$ are functions about $\cos(\omega)$, we have $\frac{d^{2m+1}}{d\omega^{2m+1}} H|_{\omega=\pi} \equiv 0$, $\frac{d^{2m+1}}{d\omega^{2m+1}} G|_{\omega=\pi} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 0$. So the above equations can be further simplified into

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^4 (-1)^n h_n = 0, \quad g_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^3 (-1)^n g_n = 0, \\ 2 \sum_{n=1}^3 n^2 (-1)^n g_n = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We now combine (3), (4) and lifting scheme to determine new 9/7-tap biorthogonal wavelet. In [3] the analysis polyphase matrix $P_a(z)$ of the 9/7-tap wavelet was factored as

$$P_a(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & -1/\zeta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \delta(1+z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma(1+z^{-1}) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta(1+z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha(1+z^{-1}) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Using symmetric property of the coefficients of h and g , $P_a(z)$ can be equivalently expressed by

$$P_a(z) = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 + h_2(z+z^{-1}) + h_4(z^2+z^{-2}) & g_1(1+z^{-1}) + g_3(z+z^{-2}) \\ h_1(z+1) + h_3(z^2+z^{-1}) & -g_0 - g_2(z+z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Comparing (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{cases} h_0 = (1 + 2\alpha\beta + 2\alpha\delta + 2\gamma\delta + 6\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)\zeta \\ h_1 = (3\beta\gamma\delta + \beta + \delta)\zeta \\ h_2 = (\alpha\beta + \alpha\delta + \gamma\delta + 4\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)\zeta \\ h_3 = \beta\gamma\delta\zeta \\ h_4 = \alpha\beta\gamma\delta\zeta \\ g_0 = (2\beta\gamma + 1)/\zeta \\ g_1 = -(3\alpha\beta\gamma + \alpha + \gamma)/\zeta \\ g_2 = \beta\gamma/\zeta \\ g_3 = -\alpha\beta\gamma/\zeta \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Combining (6), (2) and (3) yields

$$\begin{cases} \beta = -\frac{1}{4(1+2\alpha)^2} \\ \gamma = \frac{-1-4\alpha-4\alpha^2}{1+4\alpha} \\ \delta = \frac{1}{16} \left(4 - \frac{2+4\alpha}{(1+2\alpha)^4} + \frac{1-8\alpha}{(1+2\alpha)^2} \right) \\ \zeta = \frac{2\sqrt{2}(1+2\alpha)}{1+4\alpha} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

(7) shows that β, γ, δ and ζ can be all expressed by a free parameter α . In fact, let $\alpha = -1.5861343420\dots$ (an irrational number), then the CDF 9/7-tap wavelet is achieved, in this case, we get

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = -1.5861343420\dots \\ \beta = -0.0529801185\dots \\ \gamma = 0.8828110755\dots \\ \delta = 0.4435068520\dots \\ \zeta = 1.1496043988\dots \end{cases}$$

where parameters are all irrational numbers. To simplify computation we take a simple parameter $\alpha = -\frac{3}{2}$, in this time, we get

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = -3/2 \\ \beta = -1/16 \\ \gamma = 4/5 \\ \delta = 15/32 \\ \zeta = 4\sqrt{2}/5 \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding filters coefficients has the form

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 &= 19\sqrt{2}/32 & g_0 &= 9\sqrt{2}/16 \\ h_1 &= 43\sqrt{2}/160 & g_1 &= 19\sqrt{2}/64 \\ h_2 &= -3\sqrt{2}/40 & g_2 &= -\sqrt{2}/32 \\ h_3 &= -3\sqrt{2}/160 & g_3 &= -3\sqrt{2}/64 \\ h_4 &= 9\sqrt{2}/320 \end{aligned}$$

We call the filters as LS97 filters.

Furthermore, we can prove the new LS97 filters construct biorthogonal wavelet. In fact, we have

$$P(\omega) = \left(1 + \frac{3}{8} \cos \omega - \frac{3}{5} \cos 2\omega + \frac{9}{40} \cos 3\omega \right) e^{i\omega},$$

$$\tilde{P}(\omega) = \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \cos \omega \right) e^{2i\omega}. \quad \text{Therefore,}$$

$$\sup_{\omega \in [0, 2\pi)} |P(\omega)| = \frac{8}{5} + \frac{7}{405} < 2^1, \quad \sup_{\omega \in [0, 2\pi)} |\tilde{P}(\omega)| = 4 < 2^3,$$

from the construction Theorem we conclude that LS97 filters construct biorthogonal wavelet.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Obviously, compared with CDF 9/7-tap wavelet, the coefficients of LS97 wavelet are greatly simplified. For

example, for LS97 wavelet only two floating-point and 16 integer operations are needed per two wavelet coefficients, while for CDF 9/7-tap wavelet, 8 floating-point additions and 6 floating-point multiplications must be used. In the following we focus on the comparison of compression performance. In the simulation, we try the following four configurations:

- i. CDF-CDF: CDF 9/7-tap wavelet is used in both encoder and decoder;
- ii. LS-LS: LS97 wavelet is used in both encoder and decoder;
- iii. CDF-LS: CDF 9/7-tap wavelet is used in encoder, while LS97 wavelet in decoder;
- iv. LS-CDF: LS97 wavelet is used in encoder, while CDF 9/7-tap wavelet in decoder;

To be fair, the same EBCOT algorithm [5] adopted by JPEG2000 is utilized to encode the coefficients of every configure. The objective coding results (PSNR in dB) for standard 512×512 Lena, Goldhill and Barbara test images are tabulated in Table 1-3. It is easily to see that the performance of LS-LS is exactly the same as that of CDF-CDF. Furthermore, CDF-LS and LS-CDF are also close to CDF-CDF when the compression ratio is greater than 16. The visual quality of their reconstructed images is also exactly the same, as demonstrated in Fig. 1.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a new biorthogonal 9/7-tap wavelet with simple coefficients, so computational complexity is reduced greatly compared to the well-known CDF 9/7-tap wavelet. The simulation shows new 9/7-tap wavelet is a very ideal alternative to CDF 9/7-tap wavelet.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Charrier, D.S. Cruz, and M. Larsson, "JPEG2000, the Next Millenium Compression Standard for Still Image," *In Proc. of the IEEE ICMCS99*, 1(2):pp. 131-132, June 7-11, Florence Italy.
- [2] A.Cohen, I. Daubechies and J.C.Feauveau, "Biorthogonal Bases of Compactly supported wavelets," *Comm. Pure and Applied Math*, 45, pp. 485-560, 1992.
- [3] I. Daubechies and W.Sweldens, "Factoring wavelet transforms into lifting steps," *J. Fourier Annal. Appl.*, 4(3), pp. 245-267, 1998.
- [4] M.D.Adams and F.Kossentine, "Reversible integer-to-integer wavelet transforms for image compression: performance evaluation and analysis," *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, 9(6), 1010-1024, 2000.
- [5] D. Taubman, "High performance scalable image compression with EBCOT", *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, 9(7), pp. 1158-1170, 2000.

C.R.	CDF-CDF	LS-LS	CDF-LS	LS-CDF
1:8	40.38	40.38	39.93	39.96
1:16	37.29	37.29	37.04	37.10
1:32	34.16	34.16	34.02	34.09
1:64	31.01	31.02	30.93	31.00
1:128	28.15	28.17	28.10	28.17

Table 1: PSNR evaluation for Lena, in dB

C.R.	CDF-CDF	LS-LS	CDF-LS	LS-CDF
1:8	36.60	36.61	36.46	36.53
1:16	33.25	33.27	33.17	33.24
1:32	30.54	30.54	30.48	30.54
1:64	28.48	28.50	28.44	28.50
1:128	26.59	26.62	26.56	26.63

Table 2: PSNR evaluation for Goldhill, in dB

C.R.	CDF-CDF	LS-LS	CDF-LS	LS-CDF
1:8	37.19	37.21	36.90	36.95
1:16	32.31	32.32	32.21	32.23
1:32	28.37	28.46	28.33	28.42
1:64	25.45	25.46	25.43	25.44
1:128	23.38	23.36	23.36	23.36

Table 3: PSNR evaluation for Barbara, in dB



(a) CDF-CDF



(c) CDF-LS



(b) LS-LS



(d) LS-CDF

Fig.1 Quarter portions of reconstructed Barbara images at 1:32 compression ration